

Integration of cellular ubiquitin and membrane traffic systems: focus on deubiquitylases.

Michael J. Clague and Sylvie Urbé

Cellular and Molecular Physiology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK

Key words: ubiquitin, endocytosis, autophagy, mitophagy, deubiquitylase

email clague@liv.ac.uk, urbe@liv.ac.uk

Summary:

The cell is comprised of integrated multi-level protein networks or systems. The ubiquitin, protein homeostasis and membrane trafficking systems are highly integrated. Here we look at the influence of reversible ubiquitylation on membrane trafficking and organelle dynamics. We review the regulation of endocytic sorting, selective autophagy and the secretory pathway by ubiquitin signals, with a particular focus on detailing the contribution of deubiquitylating enzymes.

Abbreviations:

ALG2; Asparagine-Linked Glycosylation Protein 2 Homolog
 AMSH; associated molecule with the SH3-domain of STAM
 ATG; autophagy related gene/protein
 BTB; Broad-Complex, Tramtrack and Bric a brac domain
 Cbl; Casitas B-Lineage Lymphoma Proto-Oncogene
 ciM6PR; cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor
 CFTR; cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator
 CRL; Cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase
 DUB; deubiquitylase or deubiquitinase
 E1; ubiquitin-activating enzyme
 E2; ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme
 E3; ubiquitin ligase
 ELDR; Endo-lysosomal damage response
 EGFR; Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
 EPS15; Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Pathway Substrate 15
 ER; endoplasmic reticulum
 ERAD; ER-associated degradation
 ESCRT; Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport
 Fz; Frizzled
 GABARAP; GABA(A) receptor-associated protein
 GFP; Green fluorescent protein
 GPCR; G protein-linked receptors
 HACE1; HECT Domain And Ankyrin Repeat Containing E3 Ubiquitin Protein Ligase 1
 HECT; Homologous to the E6-AP Carboxyl Terminus
 HRS (HGS); Hepatocyte Growth Factor-Regulated Tyrosine Kinase Substrate
 IDOL; Inducible Degradator Of The LDL-Receptor
 ILVs intra-lumenal vesicles
 LC3; Light Chain 3
 LDLR; Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) receptor
 LRP6; LDL Receptor Related Protein 6
 MAPS; Misfolding Associated Protein Secretion
 MIC-CAP; Microcephaly-Capillary Malformation Syndrome
 MIT; Microtubule interacting and transport domain
 MVB; multi-vesicular body
 MYLIP; Myosin Regulatory Light Chain Interacting Protein
 NEDD4; Neural Precursor Cell Expressed, Developmentally Down-Regulated 4
 PD; Parkinson's Disease
 PDGFR; Platelet-derived growth factor receptor
 PEF1; Penta-EF-Hand Domain Containing 1
 PINK1; PTEN Induced Putative Kinase 1
 PLAA; Phospholipase A2 Activating Protein
 PTP1B; Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase, Non-Receptor Type 1
 PTEN; Phosphatase And Tensin Homolog
 pUb; Ubiquitin phosphorylated on Ser65
 RING; really interesting new gene
 RNF26; Ring finger Protein 26
 ROS; Reactive oxygen species
 RTK; Receptor tyrosine kinase
 Smo; Smoothened
 SNARE; Soluble N-ethylmaleimide attachment protein receptor
 SNCA; α -synuclein
 SNX1; Sorting nexin 1
 SPOPL; Speckle Type BTB/POZ Protein Like
 SQSTM1; Sequestosome 1
 STAM; Signal Transducing Adaptor Molecule
 TCR α ; T-cell receptor- α
 TGN; trans-Golgi Network
 TOLLIP; Toll Interacting Protein
 TRIM27; Tripartite Motif Containing 27
 Ubl; Ubiquitin-like domain
 USP; Ubiquitin specific protease
 VCIP135; Valosin Containing Protein Interacting Protein 1
 VEGFR; Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor
 VHL; von-Hippel Lindau
 VPS; vacuolar protein sorting
 VSV G; Vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein
 WASH; WASH and scar homolog

Introduction

The complement of expressed proteins provide the building blocks for an integrated set of systems that combine to produce the overall cell behaviour. The ubiquitin system constitutes greater than 1.5% of cellular protein. It overlaps with the proteostasis system but also with the cell signalling system by generating a complex signalling code on 1000's of substrate proteins [1-3]. The spectrum of ubiquitylated proteins in a cell is determined by the net activity of ubiquitin conjugation cascades (involving E1s, E2s and E3s), which principally attach ubiquitin molecules to lysine residues, balanced by the opposing action of the deubiquitylating enzymes (DUBs), which hydrolyse this isopeptide bond. The seven internal lysines of ubiquitin allow for the formation of topologically distinct ubiquitin isopeptide chain types. These, together with a minor fraction of linear ubiquitin chains and a large fraction of mono-ubiquitylation sites may be recognised by multiple types of reader proteins that contain ubiquitin binding domains that effectively translate the ubiquitin code [4, 5]. Some E2s, DUBs and readers display exquisite selectivity for particular ubiquitin chain linkage types, whilst others show little discrimination. The length of a ubiquitin chain is also an important parameter that can determine avidity for lower affinity binders. The cell membrane traffic system controls protein turnover through endocytosis and autophagy, but more fundamentally maintains compartmental organisation in the face of large scale membrane flux. Here, we will discuss the integration of the ubiquitin and membrane trafficking systems.

Sub-cellular compartmentalisation results from the differential trafficking patterns of membrane components. From the point of view of ubiquitin conjugating and deubiquitylating enzymes, it provides a means to confer specificity by restricting the palette of available substrates. Thus, understanding of the ubiquitin system may require localisation data for all components. To date, systematic sub-cellular localisation has been reported for the majority of DUBs and for the fraction of RING E3 ligases possessing a trans-membrane domain [6-9]. In this review we will explore the impact of reversible ubiquitylation on membrane trafficking and organelle dynamics.

Ubiquitin as a sorting signal.

The distribution of membrane proteins is largely governed through a balance of active sorting and retention signals, which governs their inclusion into vesicular or tubular transport intermediates [10]. For the most part their flow is constitutive, determined by intrinsic sequence mediated signals (e.g. KDEL for ER retention, NPXY for endocytosis of LDL receptor). Cells also reconfigure the sub-cellular distribution of proteins in response to prevailing conditions. In many cases, ligand occupancy of receptors is coupled to their redistribution, which is accomplished through the generation of sorting signals by post-translational modification. Although ubiquitylation can serve as a supplementary plasma membrane internalisation signal, for numerous

receptors its most critical function resides at the sorting endosome. Here, it promotes receptor incorporation into intra-lumenal vesicles (ILVs) of the multi-vesicular body (MVB) which commits cargo for lysosomal degradation [11].

Early work in the 1990's identified ubiquitylation of a number of plasma membrane proteins but its significance for membrane trafficking only became clear after pioneering studies in yeast [12-14]. Shortly thereafter, studies of *C. elegans* vulval development led to the identification of SLI-1, a homologue of the ubiquitin E3-ligase c-Cbl, as a negative regulator of signaling downstream of the LET-23 receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) [15]. Extension of these results to human cells quickly followed, with the observation that EGFR recruits c-Cbl to endosomes leading to Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) ubiquitylation and receptor down-regulation through lysosomal sorting [16]. Mass spectrometry studies suggest that EGFR is ubiquitylated at multiple sites, with Lys63-linked chains highly represented and necessary for sorting [17, 18]. A prominent role for Lys63-linked ubiquitin chains in the endosomal pathway is also evident in yeast [19]. c-Cbl mediated ubiquitylation was established as a widely used sorting signal that promotes lysosomal sorting of multiple activated RTKs (e.g. EGFR, c-MET, PDGFR) [20]. There are exceptions, for example ITCH was identified as the major E3-ligase dictating degradation of the EGFR family member, ERBB4 [21]. Other classes of receptors such as chronically activated G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) use ubiquitylation for lysosomal down-regulation, but the cognate E3s are likely more variable (e.g. NEDD4 ubiquitylates β 2-adrenergic receptor [22]). Under conditions of excess cholesterol, LDL receptors are down-regulated following the induced expression of the E3-ligase MYLIP/IDOL [23, 24].

Ubiquitin and receptor internalisation

Ubiquitylation is one of several potential internalisation signals following receptor activation that allows coupling to adaptor proteins for both clathrin-dependent and independent internalisation pathways [25]. In the case of EGFR, a ubiquitin-dependent non-clathrin pathway can be favoured under conditions of full receptor activation [26]. A recent siRNA screen identified up to fifteen DUBs influencing EGFR receptor turnover. Prominent positive regulators include USP6 and USP9X [27] (Figure 1). Of these, USP6 showed the most pronounced plasma membrane localisation in a systematic survey of GFP-DUB localisation [9]. In a separate whole genome siRNA screen USP6 was found to activate Wnt signaling by deubiquitylating Frizzled receptors and increasing their plasma membrane expression [28].

A number of accessory proteins associated with receptor internalisation have ubiquitin binding domains, but are themselves mono-ubiquitylated in an EGF-dependent manner (EPS15, EPS15R and Epsin) [29]. USP9X depletion retards EGFR internalisation at low doses of EGF, when only the clathrin dependent pathway is operative, and little if any receptor is ubiquitylated. Savio and colleagues suggest that, the relevant USP9X substrate is in fact the monoubiquitylated protein EPS15, but the

mechanistic details of how this might influence internalisation are currently unclear [27]. Studies in yeast suggest that deubiquitylation of the EPS15 homologue, Ede1 by Ubp2 and Ubp7, influences the rate of clathrin coated vesicle formation [30]. In addition to its role in governing receptor internalisation, USP9X also plays a positive role in directing lysosomal trafficking from the sorting endosome [27]. However it does not directly influence the ubiquitylation status of the receptor itself, once again suggesting an indirect mechanism. Candidate substrates include the E3 ligase ITCH that associates with endosomes [31], or in fact an endosomal fraction of EPS15, which is ubiquitylated by the SPOPL/Cullin-3 ubiquitin ligase [32]. One further siRNA screen across the DUB family identified Cezanne as a negative regulator of EGFR down-regulation [33].

Regulation of endosomal sorting by DUBs

Endosomal sorting is accomplished through capture of ubiquitylated cargo at the endosome membrane by components of the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport (ESCRT) machinery [34, 35]. This machinery promotes luminal budding of cargo containing ILVs from the limiting membrane [36] and terminates growth factor receptor signaling by sequestration from the cytosol. It is classically divided into four sub-complexes, ESCRTs 0,I,II and III. Two DUBs, USP8 (also known as UBPY) and AMSH (STAMBP) are integrated within this complex protein network. The ESCRT-0 complex, comprising HRS (HGS) and STAM, presents the first point of engagement of ubiquitylated receptors through multiple ubiquitin binding domains [34]. Both DUBs engage with ESCRT-0 by competing for a shared binding site on STAM. They also both contain N-terminal MIT domains, which bind to overlapping spectra of multiple ESCRT-III proteins [37-39]. USP8 and AMSH belong to different sub-families of DUBs; ubiquitin specific proteases and the metalloprotease JAMM family respectively. AMSH has exquisite specificity for Lys63-linked ubiquitin chains, whilst USP8 is non-selective [40-44]. *In vitro*, both are activated through association with STAM, which binds to ubiquitin and decreases the K_m [41, 45, 46].

As ubiquitin is a reversible post-translational modification, a simple model posits that receptor fate at the endosome will be governed by competing ubiquitin-conjugating and deubiquitylating activities [47]. The Lys63-linkage specific DUB, AMSH, conforms to this model [41]. Its depletion leads to enhanced degradation kinetics of acutely-activated EGFR and its ligand [27, 33, 40, 48]. Fusion of AMSH to the C-terminus of EGFR blocks receptor degradation [18]. AMSH is also recruited to GAP junction plaque sites and negatively regulates the turnover of the GAP junction protein Connexin 43 [49]. Note that the exquisite selectivity of AMSH for Lys63-linked ubiquitin will only allow for editing of ubiquitin chains, and that it cannot remove the proximal ubiquitin directly attached to receptors. Mutations in AMSH lead to Microcephaly-Capillary Malformation Syndrome (MIC-CAP) [50]. These generally

cluster in its MIT domain, but a catalytically inactivating mutation (T313I) has also been characterised [51].

USP2 has also been shown to localise to endosomes and negatively regulate EGFR down-regulation [52]. This enzyme will cleave all ubiquitin linkage types including the proximal ubiquitin. Loss of either protein provided a similar impact on EGFR degradation in the family wide DUB screen of Savio et al. [27]. USP2 interacts with and stabilises the sterol-regulated E3-ligase IDOL but also rescues LDLR from lysosomal degradation, recalling the example of USP7 described in detail below [53]. This principle of negative regulation of lysosomal sorting has been extended to many ubiquitylated receptors and channels and to other DUB family members. Examples include, the stabilisation of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) by USP10 [54] and glutamate receptors in both nematode and mammalian model systems by USP46 [55, 56].

The effects of USP8 depletion are profound and widespread, leading to a major disruption of endosomal organisation. In distinction to AMSH, USP8 depletion predominantly impairs RTK degradation, but in addition blocks the retromer-dependent retrieval of the cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (ciM6PR) to the Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane recycling of the VEGFR2, Frizzled (Fz) and Smoothened (Smo) [27, 45, 57-61]. One major consequence of USP8 depletion is the loss of both ESCRT-0 components, HRS and STAM, which are turned over in a proteasome-dependent manner [45, 62, 63]. Despite binding to the same site on STAM and being expressed at similar levels [5], AMSH cannot compensate for USP8 loss owing to its restricted ubiquitin chain specificity [64]. In addition, USP8 governs the global flux of cellular ubiquitin; its depletion drains the nucleus of ubiquitin by accumulation on endosomes [45, 60]. Note that the pleiotropic effects of USP8 make the observed phenotypes very sensitive to the efficiency of depletion in siRNA experiments, as explicitly addressed by Mizuno et al. [65]. Certain scenarios e.g. sub-optimal depletion or particular cell types, reveal negative regulation of lysosomal trafficking by USP8 [66, 67] (Figure 1). Over-expression of USP8 also leads to enhanced recycling of the epithelial Na⁺ channel [68]. Activating mutations in USP8 have been linked to Cushings Disease, which is caused by corticotroph adenomas of the pituitary [69, 70]. One suggestion is that this may reflect increased levels of EGFR through enhanced recycling to the plasma membrane.

The effect of USP8 on the retromer pathway may be linked to its control of HRS stability. HRS has been suggested to interact with the core retromer components SNX1 and VPS35 and to influence this pathway [71]. The retromer-dependent pathway requires the participation of F-actin which is recruited by the WASH complex. Activity of WASH is positively regulated by ubiquitylation, mediated by an E3 ligase complex of TRIM27 and MAGE-L2 [72]. Hao et al. have reported that USP7 incorporates into this complex through interactions with both components and localises to endosomes (Figure 1) in addition to the nucleus where it has previously established roles [73].

USP7 has opposing activities in the context of the retromer pathway; it stabilises the WASH E3-ligase TRIM27 by opposing its auto-ubiquitylation, but it also deubiquitylates WASH itself. It is proposed that USP7 is used to buffer WASH ubiquitylation to maintain optimal endosomal activity and F-actin levels. Interestingly heterozygous deletion or mutation of USP7 leads to mental impairments that resemble Schaaf-Yang syndrome which is associated with MAGE-L2 mutations [73].

There is an emerging appreciation that distinct organelles can influence each other at sites of direct contact. For example the ER localised phosphatase, PTP1B, can dephosphorylate the EGF receptor on endosomes [74]. The closely related DUBs, USP20 and USP33, deubiquitylate activated β -adrenergic receptors as well as the adaptor/signaling protein β -arrestin (USP33), to favour recycling from endosomes, despite largely being associated with the secretory pathway [75-77]. In a further intriguing model, the ER-restricted E3-ligase RNF26 is proposed to ubiquitylate SQSTM1/p62 which then links to ubiquitin receptors on specific organelles such as endosomes, e.g. EPS15, TOLLIP to retain them on the ER surface and confine them to perinuclear regions (Figure 2) [78]. How this relates to an existing model suggesting that SQSTM1 links cargo to the (-) end directed microtubule motor protein, dynein, is unclear [79]. USP15, which has also been identified as a binding partner of the ER-associated E3, RNF26, reverses this capture by deubiquitylating SQSTM1, increasing endosome mobility and global distribution [78].

Ubiquitylation a key mediator of selective autophagy

Early studies of autophagy emphasised its role as an adaptive response to amino acid deprivation, through the indiscriminate breakdown of cytosolic components. It is now clear that many modes of selective autophagy exist and that, in most, but not all cases, accumulation of ubiquitin provides the means to recruit adaptor proteins, which bridge to LC3/GABARAP-coated phagophore membranes [80]. It seems likely that multiple widely distributed ubiquitin binding sites on the target body will favour coupling to autophagosomes rather than proteasomes using the co-operativity between multiple weak binding interactions of adaptors for both ubiquitin and LC3. In most cases it would seem that a relatively delocalised coating with ubiquitin is more critical than ubiquitylation of specific protein substrates. DUBs may act to limit this distress signal or alternatively act to stabilise core components of the autophagy pathway. For example USP9X and USP10 have been proposed to regulate the stability of VPS34 complex components [81, 82]. Autophagosomes then fuse with lysosomes to generate autolysosomes. Autophagic flux is therefore reliant on appropriate organisation of the endolysosomal system.

Several studies have highlighted a role for USP8 in various aspects of autophagy, mirroring its complex contribution to endosomal functions (see above). A screen in the *Drosophila* larval fat body for DUBs influencing autophagy identified USP12 and USP8 as regulators of autophagic flux. In larval fat body cells depleted of

USP8 autophagic degradation is blocked, presumably owing to the associated lysosomal defects. In contrast, when the authors turned to HeLa cells they found that depletion of USP8 increased the number of intracellular punctae decorated with the autophagic marker GFP-LC3, with a proportionate increase in the autophagic flux [83]. The accumulation of α -synuclein (SNCA) in neuronal inclusions known as Lewy bodies is a hallmark of Parkinson's Disease (PD). Recent data suggest that USP8 may negatively regulate α -synuclein degradation by removal of Lys63 ubiquitin chains. At this point it is not clear if this represents a deflection from the autophagic pathway or from capture of soluble protein into MVBs. Most strikingly a rough eye phenotype in *Drosophila*, induced by α -synuclein expression can be reversed by USP8 depletion [84].

Mitophagy

Defective mitochondria can depolarise and accumulate the damage sensor PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1) on their surface [85]. This enables recruitment of the E3-ligase Parkin to the surface of mitochondria. Loss of function mutations in PINK1 and Parkin lead to PD. Full activation of Parkin requires both its own phosphorylation by PINK1 and phosphorylation of ubiquitin on Ser65 (pUb). PINK1-generated pUb acts as a Parkin receptor on mitochondria and elicits a conformational change which is stabilised by PINK-dependent phosphorylation of an internal Ubl domain [86-88] (Figure 3). This leads to clearance of mitochondria via the autophagy pathway utilising specific adaptor proteins, optineurin and NDP52 [85, 89-91].

USP30 is the major mitochondrial DUB, facing the cytosol and anchored to the outer membrane through a trans-membrane sequence [92]. It is optimally placed to reverse the Parkin-dependent ubiquitylation of multiple mitochondrial surface proteins and thereby limit mitophagy [93, 94]. Mitochondrial damage stimulates Parkin to generate Lys6, Lys11 and Lys 63-linked polyubiquitin chains, whilst USP30 preferentially disassembles the Lys6 and Lys11-linked multimers. However, USP30 is less active towards pUB chains, which are likely to be concentrated at sites of PINK1 activity [95-98]. We suspect these chain specificities to have functional significance. For example USP30 may be less effective in opposing early stages of initiating the ubiquitin signal where pUb generated by PINK1 may be most important. Rather, it might limit its subsequent amplification by Parkin, which is recruited to pUb modified mitochondrial proteins [86].

USP30 over-expression impairs Parkin recruitment to depolarised mitochondria and blocks mitophagy [85, 99]. Conversely, its depletion enhances mitophagic flux in cultured neurons and epithelial cells [85, 99]. In PINK1/Parkin defective, or paraquat treated fly models of PD, depletion of USP30 can restore motor function and dopamine levels [85]. Reversal of Parkin ubiquitylation at mitochondria primarily reflects the specific localisation of USP30, since targeting other USP family members to

mitochondria can fulfill the same function and directing USP30 to peroxisomes protects them from pexophagy [97].

Similar claims have been made for USP15, which is more widely distributed throughout the cytoplasm and associated with diverse cellular functions. Over-expression of USP15 reduced ubiquitin accumulation on mitochondria, whilst depletion rescued mitophagy defects in PD patient derived fibroblasts. Concomitant depletion of a proposed *Drosophila* USP15 orthologue mitigated the effects of Parkin knock-down by siRNA [100]. It is presently unclear, how direct the effect of USP15 may be. Cornelissen et al. first focused on USP15 after identifying it as co-immunoprecipitating with His₆-FLAG-tagged Parkin, but other extensive Parkin interactome studies have not found a similar interaction [100-102]. A short form of USP35 (s-USP35) has also been localised to mitochondria and is suggested to regulate mitophagy [99]. However note that this form of the enzyme lacks a catalytic domain. USP8 is proposed to have a non-endosomal function in controlling Parkin auto-ubiquitylation with predominantly Lys6-linked chains. By virtue of this activity, Parkin recruitment to acutely depolarised mitochondria is accelerated and mitophagy proceeds more efficiently [103].

Endo-lysosomal damage response (ELDR).

Endosomal compartments may get damaged by endogenous factors including ROS and neurotoxic aggregates, in the course of pathogen entry and experimentally, through the application of various transfection reagents [104]. This leads to ubiquitylation and recruitment of the autophagic machinery via specific adaptor molecules such as Atg16L1 [105]. Papadopoulos et al. described a role for the AAA-ATPase p97 in the efficient clearance of damaged endosomal compartments by selective autophagy, following the application of a lysosomotropic agent. Three p97 interacting proteins, UBXD1, PLAA and the DUB YOD1/OTUD2, were also required for the clearance of damaged lysosomes. A positive requirement for a DUB in autophagic clearance is unexpected. They suggest that a sub-set of Lys63 ubiquitin chain decorated endosomes also accumulate Lys48-linked chains which need to be removed by YOD1 to allow envelopment by LC3 containing phagophores [106]. *In vitro*, YOD1 discriminates between these chain types, although Lys 27,29 and 33 chains are preferred substrates [44].

Ubiquitin and the secretory pathway:

The major function of ubiquitin, heretofore associated with the secretory pathway is mediation of the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway, which has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [107]. A role of non-specified DUB activity has been proposed in sharpening the discrimination of triaged ERAD substrates, much as proposed for both endosomal and proteasome associated DUBs [108]. In preceding studies the ER localised USP19 was shown to rescue the ERAD substrates cystic fibrosis

transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) Δ F508 and T-cell receptor- α (TCR α) from proteasomal degradation [109]. Several DUBs associate with the retro-translocation-driving ATPase p97 (e.g. YOD1, ATXN3, USP13), some of which can positively regulate the ERAD pathway [7, 110-112].

Some DUBs show clear association with organelles of the secretory pathway, yet distinct roles in membrane trafficking have not been reported. One exception is the isoform of USP19, which contains a trans-membrane anchor that exclusively targets it to the ER [9, 109]. Recent work has associated it with an unconventional secretion pathway that becomes important under conditions of proteasome depletion or disfunction (Misfolding Associated Protein Secretion, MAPS). The catalytic domain of USP19 is proposed to capture mis-folded protein (including α -synuclein) at the surface of the ER and facilitate their transfer into vesicular structures that contain late endosomal markers (e.g. rab9), but are distinct from exosome carriers. This property of USP19 requires both ER localisation and catalytic activity. The resultant carrier vesicles then secrete proteins after fusion with the plasma membrane [113]. A further “chaperone like” activity of USP19 has also recently been proposed. Folding of the Wnt signaling co-receptor LRP6 is promoted by ubiquitylation of a specific cytosol facing lysine which promotes its retention in the ER. Exit from the ER of folded protein is then enabled by USP19 mediated deubiquitylation [114] (Figure 2).

Other functions of ubiquitin associated with vesicle trafficking and compartmental identity are beginning to emerge. Properly folded secretory proteins are packaged into COPII vesicles for transport from the ER to the cis-Golgi. Early studies in yeast suggested that a complex of Bre5 and the DUB Ubp3 regulate this process, possibly by sustaining the levels of the COPII coat component Sec23p [115]. Some secreted proteins such as collagen and fibronectin are too large to be included in a standard size vesicle, presenting a long standing conundrum to the field [116]. Jin et al. have shown that mono-ubiquitylation of the COPII component Sec31p permits expansion of vesicle size and increased secretion of collagen. The relevant E3 ligase has been identified as a cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase (CRL) complex incorporating the BTB protein KLHL12 as a recognition module in conjunction with two penta-EF-hand calcium binding proteins PEF1 and ALG2 which couple a transient rise in Ca^{2+} to a more sustained ubiquitin signal on Sec31p [117, 118]. Secretion of another large protein fibronectin is controlled by the cytosolic CRL incorporating von-Hippel Lindau (VHL) adaptor sub-unit [119].

The related DUBs, USP20 and USP33 are also present on the ER. They have both been linked to the maintenance of activity of the ER associated type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase that activates thyroxine [120]. Both also interact with VHL but only USP20 appears to influence its stability [121]. USP33 also resides on COPII vesicles and on the Golgi Apparatus. Its distribution can be shifted according to which splice variant is expressed, such that variant 3 selectively accumulates on the Golgi apparatus [77].

During mitosis the Golgi complex fragments in order to achieve an equal distribution of organelle between progeny cells. Reassembly requires SNARE proteins, in common with the majority of intracellular membrane fusion events [10]. A fraction of the Golgi t-SNARE, syntaxin 5 is monoubiquitylated by the E3-ligase HACE1 in early mitosis leading to a block in the interaction with its cognate v-SNARE Bet1 [122, 123]. It concomitantly increases interaction with the p97/p47 complex, which then promotes post-mitotic Golgi assembly following ubiquitin removal by its interacting DUB VCIP135 [123-126]. Temporal control is strengthened through phosphorylation-dependent inactivation of VCIP135 early in mitosis and reversal by phosphatase activity late in mitosis [126, 127].

Anterograde trafficking from the Trans-Golgi Network routes cargo to the plasma membrane or to the lysosome. Studies with mutant ubiquitin molecules suggest distinct roles for Lys33 and Lys63 chains in post-Golgi trafficking [128]. The CUL3-KLHL20 E3-ligase promotes Lys33 chains on Coronin 7 which is then recruited by a Golgi-localised fraction of EPS15. This is proposed to promote F-actin assembly which in turn favours the budding of transport carriers destined for the plasma membrane (e.g. VSV G protein) or the endo/lysosomal pathway (e.g. ciM6PR) [128].

Concluding remarks

DUBs are most simply conceived as negative regulators of ubiquitin dependent processes. This holds true for their control of several ubiquitin mediated protein sorting events, where they may directly deubiquitylate cargo proteins. Similarly, we also expect more DUBs to be discovered that dampen signals for selective autophagy events, in addition to USP30. However, the preceding text also highlights positive roles for DUBs in more complex pathways, which might require cycles of ubiquitylation and deubiquitylation. Several generic reasons for this may be envisaged (i) ubiquitin chain editing to switch or harmonise a linkage type (ii) release from a ubiquitin receptor (iii) control of stability of cognate E3-ligases by opposing their auto-ubiquitylation (iv) control of stability of other accessory factors.

The current survey illustrates that a significant fraction of the ~100 DUB components of the ubiquitin system impact upon the cellular membrane trafficking system. However, several specific membrane trafficking events have been shown to be ubiquitin-dependent, without relevant DUB activities so far being characterised. Some DUBs show distinct sub-cellular distributions, without a clear understanding of their localised function. DUBs help shape the cellular architecture, but then use this foundation to influence further systems, such as cell signaling. For example, we have seen that USP30 can influence mitochondrial dynamics, we might further expect it to influence ubiquitin-dependent signaling systems associated with mitochondria. To progress our understanding of the ubiquitin system we will need to have an idea of its demographics (the abundance of each functional component [5]) as well as its geography (distribution and landscape).

Bibliography

1. Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2010) Ubiquitin: same molecule, different degradation pathways, *Cell*. **143**, 682-5.
2. Komander, D. & Rape, M. (2012) The ubiquitin code, *Annu Rev Biochem*. **81**, 203-29.
3. Heride, C., Urbe, S. & Clague, M. J. (2014) Ubiquitin code assembly and disassembly, *Curr Biol*. **24**, R215-20.
4. Husnjak, K. & Dikic, I. (2012) Ubiquitin-binding proteins: decoders of ubiquitin-mediated cellular functions, *Annu Rev Biochem*. **81**, 291-322.
5. Clague, M. J., Heride, C. & Urbe, S. (2015) The demographics of the ubiquitin system, *Trends Cell Biol*. **25**, 417-26.
6. Neutzner, A., Youle, R. J. & Karbowski, M. (2007) Outer mitochondrial membrane protein degradation by the proteasome, *Novartis Found Symp*. **287**, 4-14; discussion 14-20.
7. Sowa, M. E., Bennett, E. J., Gygi, S. P. & Harper, J. W. (2009) Defining the human deubiquitinating enzyme interaction landscape, *Cell*. **138**, 389-403.
8. Kouranti, I., McLean, J. R., Feoktistova, A., Liang, P., Johnson, A. E., Roberts-Galbraith, R. H. & Gould, K. L. (2010) A global census of fission yeast deubiquitinating enzyme localization and interaction networks reveals distinct compartmentalization profiles and overlapping functions in endocytosis and polarity, *PLoS Biol*. **8**.
9. Urbe, S., Liu, H., Hayes, S. D., Heride, C., Rigden, D. J. & Clague, M. J. (2012) Systematic survey of deubiquitinase localisation identifies USP21 as a regulator of centrosome and microtubule associated functions, *Mol Biol Cell*. **23**, 1095-1103.
10. Rothman, J. E. (1994) Mechanisms of intracellular protein transport, *Nature*. **372**, 55-63.
11. Duan, L., Miura, Y., Dimri, M., Majumder, B., Dodge, I. L., Reddi, A. L., Ghosh, A., Fernandes, N., Zhou, P., Mullane-Robinson, K., Rao, N., Donoghue, S., Rogers, R. A., Bowtell, D., Naramura, M., Gu, H., Band, V. & Band, H. (2003) Cbl-mediated ubiquitinylation is required for lysosomal sorting of epidermal growth factor receptor but is dispensable for endocytosis, *J Biol Chem*. **278**, 28950-60.
12. Kolling, R. & Hollenberg, C. P. (1994) The ABC-transporter Ste6 accumulates in the plasma membrane in a ubiquitinated form in endocytosis mutants, *Embo J*. **13**, 3261-71.
13. Hein, C., Springael, J. Y., Volland, C., Haguenaer-Tsapis, R. & Andre, B. (1995) NPI1, an essential yeast gene involved in induced degradation of Gap1 and Fur4 permeases, encodes the Rsp5 ubiquitin-protein ligase, *Mol Microbiol*. **18**, 77-87.
14. Hicke, L. & Riezman, H. (1996) Ubiquitination of a yeast plasma membrane receptor signals its ligand-stimulated endocytosis, *Cell*. **84**, 277-287.
15. Yoon, C. H., Lee, J. H., Jongeward, G. D. & Sternberg, P. W. (1995) Similarity of sli-1, a regulator of vulval development in *C. elegans*, to the mammalian proto-oncogene c-cbl, *Science*. **269**, 1102-1105.
16. Levkowitz, G., Waterman, H., Zamir, E., Kam, Z., Oved, S., Langdon, W. Y., Beguinot, L., Geiger, B. & Yarden, Y. (1998) c-Cbl/Sli-1 regulates endocytic sorting and ubiquitination of the epidermal growth factor receptor, *Genes and Development*. **12**, 3663-3674.
17. Huang, F., Kirkpatrick, D., Jiang, X., Gygi, S. & Sorkin, A. (2006) Differential regulation of EGF receptor internalization and degradation by multiubiquitination within the kinase domain, *Mol Cell*. **21**, 737-48.
18. Huang, F., Zeng, X., Kim, W., Balasubramani, M., Fortian, A., Gygi, S. P., Yates, N. A. & Sorkin, A. (2013) Lysine 63-linked polyubiquitination is required for EGF receptor degradation, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
19. Lauwers, E., Erpapazoglou, Z., Haguenaer-Tsapis, R. & Andre, B. (2010) The ubiquitin code of yeast permease trafficking, *Trends Cell Biol*. **20**, 196-204.
20. Peschard, P. & Park, M. (2003) Escape from Cbl-mediated downregulation: a recurrent theme for oncogenic deregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases, *Cancer Cell*. **3**, 519-23.

21. Omerovic, J., Santangelo, L., Puggioni, E. M., Marrocco, J., Dall'Armi, C., Palumbo, C., Belleudi, F., Di Marcotullio, L., Frati, L., Torrisi, M. R., Cesareni, G., Gulino, A. & Alimandi, M. (2007) The E3 ligase Aip4/Ich ubiquitinates and targets ErbB-4 for degradation, *FASEB J.* **21**, 2849-62.
22. Alonso, V. & Friedman, P. A. (2013) Minireview: ubiquitination-regulated G protein-coupled receptor signaling and trafficking, *Mol Endocrinol.* **27**, 558-72.
23. Zelcer, N., Hong, C., Boyadjian, R. & Tontonoz, P. (2009) LXR regulates cholesterol uptake through Idol-dependent ubiquitination of the LDL receptor, *Science.* **325**, 100-4.
24. Zhang, L., Fairall, L., Gault, B. T., Calkin, A. C., Hong, C., Millard, C. J., Tontonoz, P. & Schwabe, J. W. (2011) The IDOL-UBE2D complex mediates sterol-dependent degradation of the LDL receptor, *Genes Dev.* **25**, 1262-74.
25. Traub, L. M. & Lukacs, G. L. (2007) Decoding ubiquitin sorting signals for clathrin-dependent endocytosis by CLASPs, *J Cell Sci.* **120**, 543-53.
26. Sigismund, S., Algisi, V., Nappo, G., Conte, A., Pascolutti, R., Cuomo, A., Bonaldi, T., Argenzio, E., Verhoef, L. G., Maspero, E., Bianchi, F., Capuani, F., Ciliberto, A., Polo, S. & Di Fiore, P. P. (2013) Threshold-controlled ubiquitination of the EGFR directs receptor fate, *EMBO J.* **32**, 2140-57.
27. Savio, M. G., Wollscheid, N., Cavallaro, E., Algisi, V., Di Fiore, P. P., Sigismund, S., Maspero, E. & Polo, S. (2016) USP9X Controls EGFR Fate by Deubiquitinating the Endocytic Adaptor Eps15, *Curr Biol.* **26**, 173-83.
28. Madan, B., Walker, M. P., Young, R., Quick, L., Orgel, K. A., Ryan, M., Gupta, P., Henrich, I. C., Ferrer, M., Marine, S., Roberts, B. S., Arthur, W. T., Berndt, J. D., Oliveira, A. M., Moon, R. T., Virshup, D. M., Chou, M. M. & Major, M. B. (2016) USP6 oncogene promotes Wnt signaling by deubiquitylating Frizzleds, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **113**, E2945-54.
29. Polo, S., Sigismund, S., Faretta, M., Guidi, M., Capua, M. R., Bossi, G., Chen, H., De Camilli, P. & Di Fiore, P. P. (2002) A single motif responsible for ubiquitin recognition and monoubiquitination in endocytic proteins, *Nature.* **416**, 451-455.
30. Weinberg, J. S. & Drubin, D. G. (2014) Regulation of clathrin-mediated endocytosis by dynamic ubiquitination and deubiquitination, *Curr Biol.* **24**, 951-9.
31. Mouchantaf, R., Azakir, B. A., McPherson, P. S., Millard, S. M., Wood, S. A. & Angers, A. (2006) The ubiquitin ligase itch is auto-ubiquitylated in vivo and in vitro but is protected from degradation by interacting with the deubiquitylating enzyme FAM/USP9X, *J Biol Chem.* **281**, 38738-47.
32. Gschweithl, M., Ulbricht, A., Barnes, C. A., Enchev, R. I., Stoffel-Studer, I., Meyer-Schaller, N., Huotari, J., Yamauchi, Y., Greber, U. F., Helenius, A. & Peter, M. (2016) A SPOPL/Cullin-3 ubiquitin ligase complex regulates endocytic trafficking by targeting EPS15 at endosomes, *eLife.* **5**.
33. Pareja, F., Ferraro, D. A., Rubin, C., Cohen-Dvashi, H., Zhang, F., Aulmann, S., Ben-Chetrit, N., Pines, G., Navon, R., Crosetto, N., Kostler, W., Carvalho, S., Lavi, S., Schmitt, F., Dikic, I., Yakhini, Z., Sinn, P., Mills, G. B. & Yarden, Y. (2012) Deubiquitination of EGFR by Cezanne-1 contributes to cancer progression, *Oncogene.*
34. Raiborg, C., Bache, K. G., Gillooly, D. J., Madhus, I. H., Stang, E. & Stenmark, H. (2002) Hrs sorts ubiquitinated proteins into clathrin-coated microdomains of early endosomes, *Nature Cell Biol.* **4**, 394-398.
35. Clague, M. J., Liu, H. & Urbe, S. (2012) Governance of endocytic trafficking and signaling by reversible ubiquitylation, *Dev Cell.* **23**, 457-67.
36. Henne, W. M., Buchkovich, N. J. & Emr, S. D. (2011) The ESCRT Pathway, *Dev Cell.* **21**, 77-91.
37. Tanaka, N., Kaneko, K., Asao, H., Kasai, H., Endo, Y., Fujita, T., Takeshita, T. & Sugamura, K. (1999) Possible involvement of a novel STAM-associated molecule "AMSH" in intracellular signal transduction mediated by cytokines, *J Biol Chem.* **274**, 19129-35.
38. Kato, M., Miyazawa, K. & Kitamura, N. (2000) A de-ubiquitinating enzyme UBPY interacts with the SH3 domain of Hrs binding protein via a novel binding motif Px(V/I)(D/N)RxxKP, *J Biol Chem.* **275**, 37481-37487.

39. Row, P. E., Liu, H., Hayes, S., Welchman, R., Charalabous, P., Hofmann, K., Clague, M. J., Sanderson, C. M. & Urbe, S. (2007) The MIT domain of UBPY constitutes a CHMP binding and endosomal localization signal required for efficient epidermal growth factor receptor degradation, *J Biol Chem.* **282**, 30929-37.
40. McCullough, J., Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2004) AMSH is an endosome-associated ubiquitin isopeptidase, *J Cell Biol.* **166**, 487-92.
41. McCullough, J., Row, P. E., Lorenzo, O., Doherty, M., Beynon, R., Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2006) Activation of the endosome-associated ubiquitin isopeptidase AMSH by STAM, a component of the multivesicular body-sorting machinery, *Curr Biol.* **16**, 160-5.
42. Komander, D., Reyes-Turcu, F., J.D.F., L., Odenwaelde, P., Wilkinson, K. D. & Barford, D. (2009) Molecular discrimination of structurally equivalent Lys63-linked and linear polyubiquitin chains, *EMBO Rep.* **10**, 466-473.
43. Faesen, A. C., Luna-Vargas, M. P. A., Geurink, P. P., Clerici, M., Merckx, R., van Dijk, W. J., Hameed, D. S., El Oualid, F., Ovaa, H. & Sixma, T. K. (2011) The Differential Modulation of USP Activity by Internal Regulatory Domains, Interactors and Eight Ubiquitin Chain Types, *Chemistry and Biology.* **18**, 1550-1561.
44. Mevissen, T. E., Hospenthal, M. K., Geurink, P. P., Elliott, P. R., Akutsu, M., Arnaudo, N., Ekkebus, R., Kulathu, Y., Wauer, T., El Oualid, F., Freund, S. M., Ovaa, H. & Komander, D. (2013) OTU deubiquitinases reveal mechanisms of linkage specificity and enable ubiquitin chain restriction analysis, *Cell.* **154**, 169-84.
45. Row, P. E., Prior, I. A., McCullough, J., Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2006) The ubiquitin isopeptidase UBPY regulates endosomal ubiquitin dynamics and is essential for receptor down-regulation, *J Biol Chem.* **281**, 12618-24.
46. Baiady, N., Padala, P., Mashahreh, B., Cohen-Kfir, E., Todd, E. A., Du Pont, K. E., Berndsen, C. E. & Wiener, R. (2016) The Vps27/Hrs/STAM (VHS) Domain of the Signal-transducing Adaptor Molecule (STAM) Directs Associated Molecule with the SH3 Domain of STAM (AMSH) Specificity to Longer Ubiquitin Chains and Dictates the Position of Cleavage, *J Biol Chem.* **291**, 2033-42.
47. Liu, H., Urbe, S. & Clague, M. J. (2012) Selective protein degradation in cell signalling, *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* **23**, 509-14.
48. Bowers, K., Piper, S. C., Edeling, M. A., Gray, S. R., Owen, D. J., Lehner, P. J. & Luzio, J. P. (2006) Degradation of endocytosed epidermal growth factor and virally ubiquitinated major histocompatibility complex class I is independent of mammalian ESCRTII, *J Biol Chem.* **281**, 5094-105.
49. Ribeiro-Rodrigues, T. M., Catarino, S., Marques, C., Ferreira, J. V., Martins-Marques, T., Pereira, P. & Girao, H. (2014) AMSH-mediated deubiquitination of Cx43 regulates internalization and degradation of gap junctions, *FASEB J.* **28**, 4629-41.
50. McDonnell, L. M., Mirzaa, G. M., Alcantara, D., Schwartzentruber, J., Carter, M. T., Lee, L. J., Clericuzio, C. L., Graham, J. M., Jr., Morris-Rosendahl, D. J., Polster, T., Acsadi, G., Townshend, S., Williams, S., Halbert, A., Isidor, B., David, A., Smyser, C. D., Paciorkowski, A. R., Willing, M., Woulfe, J., Das, S., Beaulieu, C. L., Marcadier, J., Geraghty, M. T., Frey, B. J., Majewski, J., Bulman, D. E., Dobyns, W. B., O'Driscoll, M. & Boycott, K. M. (2013) Mutations in STAMBP, encoding a deubiquitinating enzyme, cause microcephaly-capillary malformation syndrome, *Nat Genet.*
51. Shrestha, R. K., Ronau, J. A., Davies, C. W., Guenette, R. G., Strieter, E. R., Paul, L. N. & Das, C. (2014) Insights into the mechanism of deubiquitination by JAMM deubiquitinases from cocrystal structures of the enzyme with the substrate and product, *Biochemistry.* **53**, 3199-217.
52. Liu, Z., Zanata, S. M., Kim, J., Peterson, M. A., Di Vizio, D., Chirieac, L. R., Pyne, S., Agostini, M., Freeman, M. R. & Loda, M. (2012) The ubiquitin-specific protease USP2a prevents endocytosis-mediated EGFR degradation, *Oncogene.*
53. Nelson, J. K., Sorrentino, V., Avagliano Trezza, R., Heride, C., Urbe, S., Distel, B. & Zelcer, N. (2016) The Deubiquitylase USP2 Regulates the LDLR Pathway by Counteracting the E3-Ubiquitin Ligase IDOL, *Circ Res.* **118**, 410-9.

54. Bomberger, J. M., Barnaby, R. L. & Stanton, B. A. (2010) The deubiquitinating enzyme USP10 regulates the endocytic recycling of CFTR in airway epithelial cells, *Channels (Austin)*. **4**, 150-4.
55. Kowalski, J. R., Dahlberg, C. L. & Juo, P. (2011) The deubiquitinating enzyme USP-46 negatively regulates the degradation of glutamate receptors to control their abundance in the ventral nerve cord of *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *J Neurosci*. **31**, 1341-54.
56. Huo, Y., Khatri, N., Hou, Q., Gilbert, J., Wang, G. & Man, H. Y. (2015) The deubiquitinating enzyme USP46 regulates AMPA receptor ubiquitination and trafficking, *J Neurochem*. **134**, 1067-80.
57. Mukai, A., Yamamoto-Hino, M., Awano, W., Watanabe, W., Komada, M. & Goto, S. (2010) Balanced ubiquitylation and deubiquitylation of Frizzled regulate cellular responsiveness to Wg/Wnt, *Embo J*. **29**, 2114-25.
58. Li, S., Chen, Y., Shi, Q., Yue, T., Wang, B. & Jiang, J. (2012) Hedgehog-regulated ubiquitination controls smoothed trafficking and cell surface expression in *Drosophila*, *PLoS Biol*. **10**, e1001239.
59. Xia, R., Jia, H., Fan, J., Liu, Y. & Jia, J. (2012) USP8 Promotes Smoothed Signaling by Preventing Its Ubiquitination and Changing Its Subcellular Localization, *PLoS Biol*. **10**, e1001238.
60. MacDonald, E., Urbe, S. & Clague, M. J. (2014) USP8 controls the trafficking and sorting of lysosomal enzymes, *Traffic*. **15**, 879-88.
61. Smith, G. A., Fearnley, G. W., Abdul-Zani, I., Wheatcroft, S. B., Tomlinson, D. C., Harrison, M. A. & Ponnambalam, S. (2016) VEGFR2 Trafficking, Signaling and Proteolysis is Regulated by the Ubiquitin Isopeptidase USP8, *Traffic*. **17**, 53-65.
62. Niendorf, S., Oksche, A., Kisser, A., Lohler, J., Prinz, M., Schorle, H., Feller, S., Lewitzky, M., Horak, I. & Knobeloch, K. P. (2007) Essential role of ubiquitin-specific protease 8 for receptor tyrosine kinase stability and endocytic trafficking in vivo, *Mol Cell Biol*. **27**, 5029-39.
63. Zhang, J., Du, J., Lei, C., Liu, M. & Zhu, A. J. (2014) Ubpy controls the stability of the ESCRT-0 subunit Hrs in development, *Development*. **141**, 1473-9.
64. Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2006) Endocytosis: the DUB version, *Trends Cell Biol*. **16**, 551-9.
65. Mizuno, E., Kobayashi, K., Yamamoto, A., Kitamura, N. & Komada, M. (2006) A Deubiquitinating Enzyme UBPY Regulates the Level of Protein Ubiquitination on Endosomes, *Traffic*. **7**, 1017-10131.
66. Mizuno, E., Iura, T., Mukai, A., Yoshimori, T., Kitamura, N. & Komada, M. (2005) Regulation of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Down-Regulation by UBPY-mediated Deubiquitination at Endosomes, *Mol Biol Cell*. **16**, 5163-74.
67. Berlin, I., Schwartz, H. & Nash, P. D. (2010) Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor ubiquitination and trafficking by the USP8.STAM complex, *J Biol Chem*. **285**, 34909-21.
68. Zhou, R., Tomkovicz, V. R., Butler, P. L., Ochoa, L. A., Peterson, Z. J. & Snyder, P. M. (2013) Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 8 (USP8) regulates endosomal trafficking of the epithelial Na⁺ channel, *J Biol Chem*. **288**, 5389-97.
69. Ma, Z. Y., Song, Z. J., Chen, J. H., Wang, Y. F., Li, S. Q., Zhou, L. F., Mao, Y., Li, Y. M., Hu, R. G., Zhang, Z. Y., Ye, H. Y., Shen, M., Shou, X. F., Li, Z. Q., Peng, H., Wang, Q. Z., Zhou, D. Z., Qin, X. L., Ji, J., Zheng, J., Chen, H., Wang, Y., Geng, D. Y., Tang, W. J., Fu, C. W., Shi, Z. F., Zhang, Y. C., Ye, Z., He, W. Q., Zhang, Q. L., Tang, Q. S., Xie, R., Shen, J. W., Wen, Z. J., Zhou, J., Wang, T., Huang, S., Qiu, H. J., Qiao, N. D., Zhang, Y., Pan, L., Bao, W. M., Liu, Y. C., Huang, C. X., Shi, Y. Y. & Zhao, Y. (2015) Recurrent gain-of-function USP8 mutations in Cushing's disease, *Cell Res*. **25**, 306-17.
70. Reincke, M., Sbiera, S., Hayakawa, A., Theodoropoulou, M., Osswald, A., Beuschlein, F., Meitinger, T., Mizuno-Yamasaki, E., Kawaguchi, K., Saeki, Y., Tanaka, K., Wieland, T., Graf, E., Saeger, W., Ronchi, C. L., Allolio, B., Buchfelder, M., Strom, T. M., Fassnacht, M. & Komada, M. (2015) Mutations in the deubiquitinase gene USP8 cause Cushing's disease, *Nat Genet*. **47**, 31-8.

71. Popoff, V., Mardones, G. A., Bai, S. K., Chambon, V., Tenza, D., Burgos, P. V., Shi, A., Benaroch, P., Urbe, S., Lamaze, C., Grant, B. D., Raposo, G. & Johannes, L. (2009) Analysis of articulation between clathrin and retromer in retrograde sorting on early endosomes, *Traffic*. **10**, 1868-80.
72. Hao, Y. H., Doyle, J. M., Ramanathan, S., Gomez, T. S., Jia, D., Xu, M., Chen, Z. J., Billadeau, D. D., Rosen, M. K. & Potts, P. R. (2013) Regulation of WASH-dependent actin polymerization and protein trafficking by ubiquitination, *Cell*. **152**, 1051-64.
73. Hao, Y. H., Fountain, M. D., Jr., Fon Tacer, K., Xia, F., Bi, W., Kang, S. H., Patel, A., Rosenfeld, J. A., Le Caignec, C., Isidor, B., Krantz, I. D., Noon, S. E., Pfotenhauer, J. P., Morgan, T. M., Moran, R., Pedersen, R. C., Saenz, M. S., Schaaf, C. P. & Potts, P. R. (2015) USP7 Acts as a Molecular Rheostat to Promote WASH-Dependent Endosomal Protein Recycling and Is Mutated in a Human Neurodevelopmental Disorder, *Mol Cell*. **59**, 956-69.
74. Eden, E. R., White, I. J., Tsapara, A. & Futter, C. E. (2010) Membrane contacts between endosomes and ER provide sites for PTP1B-epidermal growth factor receptor interaction, *Nat Cell Biol*. **12**, 267-72.
75. Berthouze, M., Venkataramanan, V., Li, Y. & Shenoy, S. K. (2009) The deubiquitinases USP33 and USP20 coordinate beta2 adrenergic receptor recycling and resensitization, *Embo J*. **28**, 1684-96.
76. Shenoy, S. K., Modi, A. S., Shukla, A. K., Xiao, K., Berthouze, M., Ahn, S., Wilkinson, K. D., Miller, W. E. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (2009) Beta-arrestin-dependent signaling and trafficking of 7-transmembrane receptors is reciprocally regulated by the deubiquitinase USP33 and the E3 ligase Mdm2, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **106**, 6650-5.
77. Thorne, C., Eccles, R. L., Coulson, J. M., Urbe, S. & Clague, M. J. (2011) Isoform-specific localization of the deubiquitinase USP33 to the Golgi apparatus, *Traffic*. **12**, 1563-74.
78. Jongsma, M. L., Berlin, I., Wijdeven, R. H., Janssen, L., Janssen, G. M., Garstka, M. A., Janssen, H., Mensink, M., van Veelen, P. A., Spaapen, R. M. & Neefjes, J. (2016) An ER-Associated Pathway Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled Cargo Transport, *Cell*. **166**, 152-66.
79. Calderilla-Barbosa, L., Seibenhener, M. L., Du, Y., Diaz-Meco, M. T., Moscat, J., Yan, J., Wooten, M. W. & Wooten, M. C. (2014) Interaction of SQSTM1 with the motor protein dynein--SQSTM1 is required for normal dynein function and trafficking, *J Cell Sci*. **127**, 4052-63.
80. Khaminets, A., Behl, C. & Dikic, I. (2016) Ubiquitin-Dependent And Independent Signals In Selective Autophagy, *Trends Cell Biol*. **26**, 6-16.
81. Liu, J., Xia, H., Kim, M., Xu, L., Li, Y., Zhang, L., Cai, Y., Norberg, H. V., Zhang, T., Furuya, T., Jin, M., Zhu, Z., Wang, H., Yu, J., Hao, Y., Choi, A., Ke, H., Ma, D. & Yuan, J. (2011) Beclin1 Controls the Levels of p53 by Regulating the Deubiquitination Activity of USP10 and USP13, *Cell*. **147**, 223-34.
82. Elgendy, M., Ciro, M., Abdel-Aziz, A. K., Belmonte, G., Dal Zuffo, R., Mercurio, C., Miracco, C., Lanfrancone, L., Foiani, M. & Minucci, S. (2014) Beclin 1 restrains tumorigenesis through Mcl-1 destabilization in an autophagy-independent reciprocal manner, *Nature communications*. **5**, 5637.
83. Jacomin, A. C., Bescond, A., Soleilhac, E., Gallet, B., Schoehn, G., Fauvarque, M. O. & Taillebourg, E. (2015) The Deubiquitinating Enzyme UBPY Is Required for Lysosomal Biogenesis and Productive Autophagy in Drosophila, *PLoS One*. **10**, e0143078.
84. Alexopoulou, Z., Lang, J., Perrett, R. M., Elschami, M., Hurry, M. E., Kim, H. T., Mazaraki, D., Szabo, A., Kessler, B. M., Goldberg, A. L., Ansorge, O., Fulga, T. A. & Tofaris, G. K. (2016) Deubiquitinase Usp8 regulates alpha-synuclein clearance and modifies its toxicity in Lewy body disease, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **113**, E4688-97.
85. Bingol, B. & Sheng, M. (2016) Mechanisms of mitophagy: PINK1, Parkin, USP30 and beyond, *Free radical biology & medicine*.
86. Okatsu, K., Koyano, F., Kimura, M., Kosako, H., Saeki, Y., Tanaka, K. & Matsuda, N. (2015) Phosphorylated ubiquitin chain is the genuine Parkin receptor, *J Cell Biol*. **209**, 111-28.

87. Ordureau, A., Heo, J. M., Duda, D. M., Paulo, J. A., Olszewski, J. L., Yanishevski, D., Rinehart, J., Schulman, B. A. & Harper, J. W. (2015) Defining roles of PARKIN and ubiquitin phosphorylation by PINK1 in mitochondrial quality control using a ubiquitin replacement strategy, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **112**, 6637-42.
88. Wauer, T., Simicek, M., Schubert, A. & Komander, D. (2015) Mechanism of phospho-ubiquitin-induced PARKIN activation, *Nature*. **524**, 370-4.
89. Narendra, D., Tanaka, A., Suen, D. F. & Youle, R. J. (2008) Parkin is recruited selectively to impaired mitochondria and promotes their autophagy, *J Cell Biol*. **183**, 795-803.
90. Lazarou, M., Sliter, D. A., Kane, L. A., Sarraf, S. A., Wang, C., Burman, J. L., Sideris, D. P., Fogel, A. I. & Youle, R. J. (2015) The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy receptors to induce mitophagy, *Nature*. **524**, 309-14.
91. Manford, A. G. & Rape, M. (2015) Better Safe than Sorry: Interlinked Feedback Loops for Robust Mitophagy, *Mol Cell*. **60**, 1-2.
92. Nakamura, N. & Hirose, S. (2008) Regulation of Mitochondrial Morphology by USP30, a Deubiquitinating Enzyme Present in the Mitochondrial Outer Membrane, *Mol Biol Cell*. **19**, 1903-11.
93. Bingol, B., Tea, J. S., Phu, L., Reichelt, M., Bakalarski, C. E., Song, Q., Foreman, O., Kirkpatrick, D. S. & Sheng, M. (2014) The mitochondrial deubiquitinase USP30 opposes parkin-mediated mitophagy, *Nature*. **510**, 370-5.
94. Liang, J. R., Martinez, A., Lane, J. D., Mayor, U., Clague, M. J. & Urbe, S. (2015) USP30 deubiquitylates mitochondrial Parkin substrates and restricts apoptotic cell death, *EMBO Rep*. **16**, 618-27.
95. Ordureau, A., Sarraf, S. A., Duda, D. M., Heo, J. M., Jedrychowski, M. P., Sviderskiy, V. O., Olszewski, J. L., Koerber, J. T., Xie, T., Beausoleil, S. A., Wells, J. A., Gygi, S. P., Schulman, B. A. & Harper, J. W. (2014) Quantitative Proteomics Reveal a Feedforward Mechanism for Mitochondrial PARKIN Translocation and Ubiquitin Chain Synthesis, *Mol Cell*. **56**, 360-75.
96. Wauer, T., Swatek, K. N., Wagstaff, J. L., Gladkova, C., Pruneda, J. N., Michel, M. A., Gersch, M., Johnson, C. M., Freund, S. M. & Komander, D. (2014) Ubiquitin Ser65 phosphorylation affects ubiquitin structure, chain assembly and hydrolysis, *EMBO J*.
97. Cunningham, C. N., Baughman, J. M., Phu, L., Tea, J. S., Yu, C., Coons, M., Kirkpatrick, D. S., Bingol, B. & Corn, J. E. (2015) USP30 and parkin homeostatically regulate atypical ubiquitin chains on mitochondria, *Nat Cell Biol*. **17**, 160-9.
98. Huguenin-Dezot, N., De Cesare, V., Peltier, J., Knebel, A., Kristaryianto, Y. A., Rogerson, D. T., Kulathu, Y., Trost, M. & Chin, J. W. (2016) Synthesis of Isomeric Phosphoubiquitin Chains Reveals that Phosphorylation Controls Deubiquitinase Activity and Specificity, *Cell reports*. **16**, 1180-93.
99. Wang, Y., Serricchio, M., Jauregui, M., Shanbhag, R., Stoltz, T., Di Paolo, C. T., Kim, P. K. & McQuibban, G. A. (2015) Deubiquitinating enzymes regulate PARK2-mediated mitophagy, *Autophagy*. **11**, 595-606.
100. Cornelissen, T., Haddad, D., Wauters, F., Van Humbeeck, C., Mandemakers, W., Koentjoro, B., Sue, C., Gevaert, K., De Strooper, B., Verstreken, P. & Vandenberghe, W. (2014) The deubiquitinase USP15 antagonizes Parkin-mediated mitochondrial ubiquitination and mitophagy, *Hum Mol Genet*. **23**, 5227-42.
101. Zanon, A., Rakovic, A., Blankenburg, H., Doncheva, N. T., Schwienbacher, C., Serafin, A., Alexa, A., Weichenberger, C. X., Albrecht, M., Klein, C., Hicks, A. A., Pramstaller, P. P., Domingues, F. S. & Pichler, I. (2013) Profiling of Parkin-binding partners using tandem affinity purification, *PLoS One*. **8**, e78648.
102. Hosp, F., Vossfeldt, H., Heinig, M., Vasiljevic, D., Arumughan, A., Wyler, E., Genetic, Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's Disease, G. C., Landthaler, M., Hubner, N., Wanker, E. E., Lannfelt, L., Ingelsson, M., Lalowski, M., Voigt, A. & Selbach, M. (2015) Quantitative interaction proteomics of neurodegenerative disease proteins, *Cell reports*. **11**, 1134-46.

103. Durcan, T. M., Tang, M. Y., Perusse, J. R., Dashti, E. A., Aguilera, M. A., McLelland, G. L., Gros, P., Shaler, T. A., Faubert, D., Coulombe, B. & Fon, E. A. (2014) USP8 regulates mitophagy by removing K6-linked ubiquitin conjugates from parkin, *EMBO J.* **33**, 2473-91.
104. Hasegawa, J., Maejima, I., Iwamoto, R. & Yoshimori, T. (2015) Selective autophagy: lysophagy, *Methods.* **75**, 128-32.
105. Fujita, N., Morita, E., Itoh, T., Tanaka, A., Nakaoka, M., Osada, Y., Umemoto, T., Saitoh, T., Nakatogawa, H., Kobayashi, S., Haraguchi, T., Guan, J. L., Iwai, K., Tokunaga, F., Saito, K., Ishibashi, K., Akira, S., Fukuda, M., Noda, T. & Yoshimori, T. (2013) Recruitment of the autophagic machinery to endosomes during infection is mediated by ubiquitin, *Journal of Cell Biology.* **203**, 115-128.
106. Papadopoulos, C., Kirchner, P., Bug, M., Grum, D., Koerver, L., Schulze, N., Poehler, R., Dressler, A., Fengler, S., Arhzaouy, K., Lux, V., Ehrmann, M., Wehl, C. C. & Meyer, H. (2016) VCP/p97 cooperates with YOD1, UBXD1 and PLAA to drive clearance of ruptured lysosomes by autophagy, *EMBO J.*
107. Vembar, S. S. & Brodsky, J. L. (2008) One step at a time: endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* **9**, 944-57.
108. Zhang, Z. R., Bonifacino, J. S. & Hegde, R. S. (2013) Deubiquitinases sharpen substrate discrimination during membrane protein degradation from the ER, *Cell.* **154**, 609-22.
109. Hassink, G. C., Zhao, B., Sompallae, R., Altun, M., Gastaldello, S., Zinin, N. V., Masucci, M. G. & Lindsten, K. (2009) The ER-resident ubiquitin-specific protease 19 participates in the UPR and rescues ERAD substrates, *EMBO Rep.* **10**, 755-61.
110. Wang, Q., Li, L. & Ye, Y. (2006) Regulation of retrotranslocation by p97-associated deubiquitinating enzyme ataxin-3, *J Cell Biol.* **174**, 963-71.
111. Ernst, R., Mueller, B., Ploegh, H. L. & Schlieker, C. (2009) The otubain YOD1 is a deubiquitinating enzyme that associates with p97 to facilitate protein dislocation from the ER, *Mol Cell.* **36**, 28-38.
112. Liu, Y. & Ye, Y. (2012) Roles of p97-associated deubiquitinases in protein quality control at the endoplasmic reticulum, *Current protein & peptide science.* **13**, 436-46.
113. Lee, J. G., Takahama, S., Zhang, G., Tomarev, S. I. & Ye, Y. (2016) Unconventional secretion of misfolded proteins promotes adaptation to proteasome dysfunction in mammalian cells, *Nat Cell Biol.* **18**, 765-76.
114. Perrody, E., Abrami, L., Feldman, M., Kunz, B., Urbe, S. & van der Goot, G. (2016) Ubiquitin-dependent folding of the Wnt signaling coreceptor LRP6, *eLife.* **5**.
115. Cohen, M., Stutz, F., Belgareh, N., Haguener-Tsapis, R. & Dargemont, C. (2003) Ubp3 requires a cofactor, Bre5, to specifically de-ubiquitinate the COPII protein, Sec23, *Nat Cell Biol.* **5**, 661-7.
116. Malhotra, V. & Erismann, P. (2015) The pathway of collagen secretion, *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* **31**, 109-24.
117. Jin, L., Pahuja, K. B., Wickliffe, K. E., Gorur, A., Baumgartel, C., Schekman, R. & Rape, M. (2012) Ubiquitin-dependent regulation of COPII coat size and function, *Nature.* **482**, 495-500.
118. McGourty, C. A., Akopian, D., Walsh, C., Gorur, A., Werner, A., Schekman, R., Bautista, D. & Rape, M. (2016) Regulation of the CUL3 Ubiquitin Ligase by a Calcium-Dependent Co-adaptor, *Cell.* **167**, 525-538 e14.
119. Ohh, M., Yauch, R. L., Lonergan, K. M., Whaley, J. M., Stemmer-Rachamimov, A. O., Louis, D. N., Gavin, B. J., Kley, N., Kaelin, W. G., Jr. & Iliopoulos, O. (1998) The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein is required for proper assembly of an extracellular fibronectin matrix, *Mol Cell.* **1**, 959-68.
120. Curcio-Morelli, C., Zavacki, A. M., Christofollete, M., Gereben, B., de Freitas, B. C., Harney, J. W., Li, Z., Wu, G. & Bianco, A. C. (2003) Deubiquitination of type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase by von Hippel-Lindau protein-interacting deubiquitinating enzymes regulates thyroid hormone activation, *J Clin Invest.* **112**, 189-96.
121. Li, Z., Wang, D., Messing, E. M. & Wu, G. (2005) VHL protein-interacting deubiquitinating enzyme 2 deubiquitinates and stabilizes HIF-1alpha, *EMBO Rep.* **6**, 373-8.

122. Tang, D., Xiang, Y., De Renzis, S., Rink, J., Zheng, G., Zerial, M. & Wang, Y. (2011) The ubiquitin ligase HACE1 regulates Golgi membrane dynamics during the cell cycle, *Nat Commun.* **2**, 501.
123. Huang, S., Tang, D. & Wang, Y. (2016) Monoubiquitination of Syntaxin 5 Regulates Golgi Membrane Dynamics during the Cell Cycle, *Dev Cell.* **38**, 73-85.
124. Uchiyama, K., Jokitalo, E., Kano, F., Murata, M., Zhang, X., Canas, B., Newman, R., Rabouille, C., Pappin, D., Freemont, P. & Kondo, H. (2002) VCIP135, a novel essential factor for p97/p47-mediated membrane fusion, is required for Golgi and ER assembly in vivo, *J Cell Biol.* **159**, 855-66.
125. Wang, Y., Satoh, A., Warren, G. & Meyer, H. H. (2004) VCIP135 acts as a deubiquitinating enzyme during p97-p47-mediated reassembly of mitotic Golgi fragments, *J Cell Biol.* **164**, 973-8.
126. Zhang, X. & Wang, Y. (2015) Cell cycle regulation of VCIP135 deubiquitinase activity and function in p97/p47-mediated Golgi reassembly, *Mol Biol Cell.* **26**, 2242-51.
127. Zhang, X., Zhang, H. & Wang, Y. (2014) Phosphorylation regulates VCIP135 function in Golgi membrane fusion during the cell cycle, *J Cell Sci.* **127**, 172-81.
128. Yuan, W. C., Lee, Y. R., Lin, S. Y., Chang, L. Y., Tan, Y. P., Hung, C. C., Kuo, J. C., Liu, C. H., Lin, M. Y., Xu, M., Chen, Z. J. & Chen, R. H. (2014) K33-Linked Polyubiquitination of Coronin 7 by Cul3-KLHL20 Ubiquitin E3 Ligase Regulates Protein Trafficking, *Mol Cell.* **54**, 586-600.

Figure legends

Figure 1: DUBs control trafficking through the endocytic pathway.

Depicted are those DUBs that have been shown to affect (a) the internalisation process of a variety of transmembrane proteins (e.g. EGFR), (b) endo-lysosomal sorting of cargo (e.g. EGFR) by the ESCRT-machinery, leading to lysosomal degradation and (c) retromer and WASH-dependent recycling of cargo proteins to the TGN (e.g. Mannose-6-Phosphate receptor). Proposed substrates are shown in brackets. Note that USP8 has been proposed to positively and negatively regulate endolysosomal trafficking, possibly reflecting its dual role in deubiquitylating both the ESCRT-0 HRS/STAM complex and the EGFR itself. Dashed arrows show the path taken by cargo along the endocytic trafficking routes. Other DUBs implicated in endocytic trafficking of specific cargo, e.g. USP10 and USP46 are not shown.

Figure 2: Deubiquitylase activities associated with endoplasmic reticulum associated vesicles.

- (a) The integral ER protein RNF26 is proposed to ubiquitylate SQSTM1/p62, which in turn functions to tether a variety of vesicles including endosomes via endosomal ubiquitin-binding adaptors, in the peri-nuclear area. USP15, which is a cytoplasmic protein is thought to release the vesicles by deubiquitylating SQSTM1.
- (b) The ER transmembrane protein USP19 has been suggested to act as a chaperone to promote the transfer of misfolded alpha-synuclein (SNCA) into the lumen of RAB9 positive late endosomal vesicles, which subsequently exocytose their content by fusion with the plasma membrane via a non-canonical MAPS pathway.
- (c) USP19 engages in a number of quality control pathways, including ERAD (not shown) and the ubiquitin-dependent ER-exit of the palmitoylated and glycosylated LRP6 transmembrane protein via vesicular carriers.

Figure 3: Selective autophagy is regulated by multiple DUBs.

- (a) Mitophagy is triggered by the activation of PINK1 and Parkin leading to the accumulation of Lys6,11 and 63-linked Ub chains, containing pUb, on the outer mitochondrial membrane. These promote the association of ubiquitin-binding adapter proteins that recruit LC3-decorated autophagic membranes. USP30 and USP15 have been shown to counteract this process by removing ubiquitin from Parkin substrates. USP8 has been proposed to remove inhibitory Lys6-linked ubiquitin chains from Parkin.
- (b) The endo-lysosomal damage response ensures the clearance of damaged lysosomes via autophagy. Lys63-linked chains are proposed to act as an enabling ubiquitin modification in this process and promote the recruitment of the autophagic machinery. In contrast, Lys48-linked chains associated with some of the damaged lysosomes need

to be removed by the DUB YOD1, a component of the Endo-Lysosomal Damage Response complex (ELDR).

(c) Mis-folded alpha-synuclein aggregates have been shown to accumulate Lys63-linked ubiquitin chains which promote its degradation within lysosomes. USP8 is proposed to remove these ubiquitin chains and interfere with the clearance of alpha-synuclein aggregates.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3